

FSDM: IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING GUIDELINES

4.2.1 Overview of Improvements monitoring

DEPARTMENT: PERFORMANCE MONITORING AND EVALUATION

Audience	Units in Offices of the Premiers responsible for Frontline Service Delivery Monitoring, FSDM Coordinators and Site Monitors.
Purpose	This guidance note is to provide guidelines on the identification of improvements monitoring sites, the types of improvements monitoring visits and the improvements monitoring approach.
Use of guidance note	This is to be used for annual planning processes, reporting and during the implementation of the improvements monitoring processes.
Contact person	Bernadette Leon: Email: <u>bernadette@po-dpme.gov.za</u> Tel: Tel: +27 (0)12 312 0323

# 1 Background

The Department of Performance Monitoring and Evaluation in the Presidency developed the Presidential Frontline Service Delivery (PFSD) Monitoring Programme in 2011 to undertake hands on monitoring of the quality of service delivery at targeted service delivery sites. This programme was developed in line with the delivery agreement related to improvements in the public service (outcome 12), where there is an important intergovernmental project dealing with improvements to the quality of service delivery received by citizens at service site level. The DPME-OoP joint monitoring programme was designed around this project with an intention to conduct on-site verification of the outputs and outcomes of this project. Using monitoring tools designed in-house by the DPME and OoP, joint DPME and OoP monitoring teams conduct monitoring visits to service sites to assess if the improvement project, as set out in the delivery agreements, is showing visible results.

The FSDM/ OoP monitoring activities are aimed at facilitating improvements in the performance of targeted frontline service delivery sites and to address systemic weaknesses that constrain frontline service delivery. The focus is on identifying areas of weakness and implementing corrective and improvement plans in conjunction with the line departments. The severity of the findings will however determine the level of DPME/ OoP improvements monitoring oversight required over the implementation of improvement plans. Implementation of the improvements plans lies with the line department and the DPME/ OoP and National Sector Department will provide implementation oversight.

#### 2. Purpose of improvements monitoring

Improvements monitoring processes are specific to sites identified from the baseline visits with poor scores and findings that are selected for re-monitoring on the basis of these poor scores. The objective of improvements monitoring processes is to facilitate improvements in the key performance areas of the facilities found to be performing poorly during baseline monitoring visits. The focus is to facilitating a culture of using monitoring findings to inform improvements at frontline facilities.

Although the DPME conducts improvements monitoring visits the facility/ department monitored is however responsible for implementing the corrective measures. The FSDM improvements monitoring processes, including improvements meetings and visits are aimed at:

- Instituting improvements urgently in severe cases identified through the FSDM monitoring visits.
- Monitoring the implementation of improvement plans as developed by the department together with DPME and OoP, in cases where urgent implementation is not necessary.
- Monitoring the improvements in scores from the initial baseline visit scores.

### 3. Process for improvements monitoring

The criteria for selecting improvements monitoring is updated and reviewed yearly. This is in line with the fact that the process for improvements monitoring dependents on the dominant trends of the baseline monitoring visits. This means that each year, this section will be updated in line with the baseline findings and trends from the previous year. This means that this guideline, (2014/15) was influenced by the outcomes of the 2013/14 monitoring findings.

Improvements monitoring processes of this current year (2014/15) will therefore be undertaken next year (2015/16). Only the selection of improvements monitoring sites will take place this year.

The criteria for improvements monitoring is discussed in the improvements monitoring guideline in detail.

Each site identified for improvements will be visited twice, as part of the improvements monitoring meeting and improvements monitoring visit (re-scoring monitoring visit). For each of the improvements visits, the improvements monitoring reporting template is to be utilised.

### 4. Protocol for completing the improvements monitoring report

# 4.1 Improvements monitoring meeting

As part of the improvements monitoring meetings in 2014, the implementation of improvement plans is to be tracked by completing the following improvements monitoring section of the report template as per below:

		IMPROVEN	IENTS MONITORING REPORT-IMP	ROVEMENT MONITOR	RING 3-(2014)					
	Extract of the Im	provement Plan-(Developed during the fe	edback meeting)				For	r completi	on during	g the improvements meeting
FSDM improvement performance area	Recommendations	Outputs-to achieve improvement	Activity to achieve output	Implementation target (S/WL)	By When	recommend	mplemented? (Y/N) of re		entation endation ete?	Comments
						Υ	N	Υ	N	
Location & Accessibility	1.1 The improvements monitoring	Ramp	Send letter to public works	Short term	15/10/2014					
Visibility & Signage	21	0	0	0						
Queue Management & Waiting Times	3.1	0	0	0						
Dignified Treatment & Consultation	4.1									
Cleanliness & Comfort	5.1	0	0	0						
Safety	6.1	0	0	0						
Opening & closing times	7.1	0	0	0						
Complaint Management System	8.1	0	0	0						
Sector specific standards	9.1	0	0	0						
Other	10.1	0	0	0						
		IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING	SUMMARY- (For completion a	t the end of the impr	rovements m	onitoring me	eeting)			
Number of improvement reco	mmendations complete		Number of improvement recomme	endations not complete	3					
Name & position of lead perso	n			Contacts of lead pe	erson					
Monitors' close out comments	on progress to date						•			

- Was the improvements recommendation implemented: This question should be asked
  by the monitor during the improvements meeting and the appropriate response ticked as a
  way of tracking improvements per action plan developed during the baseline stage. This
  question is aimed at probing whether the action items have been implemented.
- **Is the implementation complete:** This question is to be asked during the improvements monitoring meeting as well. This is aimed at probing if the implementation process is complete. The appropriate response is to be ticked by the monitor completing the template.
- Comments: The comments column is also for completion during the improvements monitoring meeting. Any comments relating to the implementation of the action items and improvement recommendations is to be captured in this column, if available.

The recommendations, outputs, activities, implementation target and completion date (by when) as stated above should be pre-populated before the improvements monitoring meeting. These will be automatically populated into this template from the visit summary report, if the appropriate visit summary report template linked to the improvements monitoring template is used.

At the end of the improvements monitoring meeting, the improvements monitoring summary section is to be completed by the monitor in closing the meeting. Photographic evidence for the

current improvements monitoring meeting is to be captured in the space allocated for the current year's photos.

### 4.2 Improvements monitoring visit (re-scoring)

During the re-scoring / improvements monitoring visit, the improvements monitoring template is to be also used. Only the improvements monitoring scores for the current year (2014-15) are to be captured in the improvements monitoring scores consolidation template, which will automatically transfer these scores into the improvements monitoring report. The section of the template of the improvements report for completion during the improvements monitoring visit (re-scoring) is the following:

KEY:IMPROVEMENT RATINGS	KEY	Y: PERFOM	ANCE RATINGS											PER	FORMA	NCE A	AREAS																																
Improvement	Very Good	3.5-4	4			ج.							men	es							×					Т		gui			× ,	,	1																
No Change	Good	2.5-3.4	3		Location & accessibility												ness			40	ć i ż			Opening & closing times			Complaints &	system	ı																				
Regression	Fair	1.5-2.4	2							ccess				ccess				-ocati					Locat				Locat				accessibili accessibili Visibility &						vaiting Tim Vaiting Tim Digniffed Treatment					Cleanliness Comfort Safety							ning & c fimes
	Poor	1-1.4	1			10							One	8						(	د							obe			O C																		
				Baseline score	Improvement monitoring(2012)	Improvement monitoring(2013)	Improvement monitoring(3014)	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	baseline score	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	Baseline score	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2 Improvement monitoring 3																	
			Citizen	2.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.1													T	T			T	T	T				П		1																
			Monitor	1.8	3.0	2.0	1.0														Ť	T			T		T				П		1																
			Staff	2.5	2.0	4.0	1.0																		İ								ı																
Average score			Avg	2.2	2.3	2.3	1.0	1.1	###	####	###	####	###	#####	#####	####	###	####	###	## ##	####	# ##	##	##	### 3	## #	## #:	##	####	###	##	#### ##;	ř																
Trend			Trend		Improv	No change	Reg		###	####	###		###	####	#####		###	####	###	#1	###	# ##	ŧ	##	### ;	##	#1	##	####		##	#### ##:	H.																
			-	**MON	ITORS' NAR	RATIVE ON	THE SITE F	INDIN	GS-F	or cor	npleti	on fo	llowir	ig Impr	oveme	nt mo	nitorir	ng me	eting					_							Ħ		ı																

In populating the 2014-15 improvements monitoring scores, the average score and the trend, which compares the previous monitoring scores with the current improvements monitoring scores, are automatically populated. The trend column will automatically indicate whether the current score has improved, regressed or if there is no change when compared to previous year's scores.

After populating the improvements scores for the current year, the monitor's narrative on improvements findings is to be captured. The narrative on findings is a summary of improvements monitoring findings and an overview of the monitors 'observations. This section is automatically populated, after being captured on the improvements monitoring scores consolidation template.

### 5. Historical capturing

For improvements monitoring sites monitored between 2012-2013 visits, the previous year's scores and improvements monitoring findings captured during the improvements monitoring meetings will be captured into this current template. The capturing of information is to take place before the start of the improvements monitoring visits for 2014-15. The capturing of this historical

information is a separate process that will be detailed in another document, however, it is important for monitors' to note that there is a process for getting resources to capture historical data into this current improvements monitoring template.

Part of the historical data capturing will include the transfer of photographic evidence over the monitoring periods for each of the improvements monitoring facilities. This evidence is to be captured in the space allocated to that year.

### 6. Roles & responsibilities of stakeholders

The completion of the improvements monitoring report is the responsibility of the Provincial Coordinator. Two weeks after the improvements monitoring meeting or visit, the Provincial Coordinator is to ensure that the reports are sent to the Offices of the Premiers for input and saved on the DPME M-drive for the start of the quality assurance steps. In completing the quality assurance steps, the reports are to be circulated to facility management and Offices of the Premiers for record keeping purposes.

# 7. At what stage can you say improvements monitoring processes are complete?

Improvements monitoring processes can only be complete when the following processes have been undertaken:

- An improvements meeting between DPME, OoP and the site management, district and regional stakeholders has taken place and the improvements monitoring report complete.
- An an-announced improvements re-scoring visit has been undertaken and the facility rescored using the re-scoring section of this template

#### 8. Forward Processes

This guideline is the guideline for the improvements monitoring tools. The other guideline is on the improvements monitoring approach and processes. This guideline is the last guideline for the FSDM monitoring tools and is only for the purposes of detailing how and when to administer the improvements monitoring tools.

Annexure 1: Improvements monitoring report template

Amexu	<i>ie i.</i>	ширг	ovements	S III																													
11 246				FF		HE PRESIDE												FMPI	ΔΤΕ											-			
	Visit Referer	nce number			TOWN EINE SE	INVIOL DELIV	ERT MONT	I	THOC	or Co Civily		NO V	LIVILIY	1 J IIIOI	III OIGII	OKL	OICI I	LIII L	/ <u>L</u>											1			
	Name of mo	nitor/ Persal																															
	Name of qua																																
Facility Name	Tonga Magi: Mpumalanga							Date of improvement meeting  Improvement monitoring 1 Improvement monitoring 2 Improvement monitoring 3														ХP	ovince										
Province Sector	Justice	1						IIIIpiu	venie	IL IIIOII	itoring			IIIIDIOV	ementi	HOHILO	ilily Z						шрго	venie	altillt	JIIILUI	iliy 3		_	1			
Total number of															Numbe		males		Ļ														
citizens interviewed	8			Number o	of males interv	riewed	3	KEY PI	EE O DI	AANCE	ADEA	sco	DEC		intervi	ewed			5														-
KEY:IMPROVEMENT	K	FV- PERFOMA	ANCE RATINGS				A.	KETPI	EFUKI	VIAIVCE	AKEA	300	KES	PFR	RFORMA	ANCE	ΔRFΔ	:															
RATINGS	K	LI.I LIN OWF	INCE RATINGS											I LI	(I OI(W)	TIVEL	AILLA.	,															
Improvement	Very Good	3.5-4	4		۵	Į.			~*				, mo	nes							<u>«</u>							Opening & closing times			& ts		
No Change	Good	2.5-3.4	3		ion 2	ligis			IIIty.	nage			200	anay g Tir			ified	men			iness			4	613			S CIC	2		aints	stem	
Regression	Fair	1.5-2.4	2		Je Jo	accessibility			Visibility &	Sign			ž	& Waiting Times			Dign	Treatment			Cleanliness &		Safety					ning High			Jdwo	sys	
	Poor	1-1.4	1			r ro							2	8 ×							ਹ							Ope			Complaints & compliments system		
					112)	)13)	014)		g 1	g 2	g 3		g 1	g 2	93		g 1	g 2	93	,	9 -	3 3	0	g 1	g 2	g 3	1	9 -	93		g 1	9.2	93
				e e	Improvement monitoring(2012)	Improvement monitoring(2013)	Improvement monitoring(2014)	e	Improvement monitoring	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	ē	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	e e	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3	e .	Improvement monitoring 1	Improvement monitoring	ē	Improvement monitoring	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring	Baseline score	monitoring	Improvement monitoring 3	e e	Improvement monitoring	Improvement monitoring 2	Improvement monitoring 3
				Baseline score	nitor	onitor	nitor	Baseline score	mom	mom	mom	Baseline score	mom:	mom :	mom :	Baseline score	mom :	mom	mom	Baseline score	I OILL	mom	Baseline score	mon:	mom:	mon	Baseline score	mom	mom :	Baseline score	mom	mom .	mom
				selin	nt mo	nt mc	nt mo	selin	ment	meni	ment	selin	ment	ment	ment	selin	ment	ment	ment	selin	men	meni	selin	ment	ment	meni	selln	meni	ment	selin	ment	men	meni
				Ba	veme	veme	veme	Ba	prove	prove	prove	Ba	prove	prove	prove	Ba	prove	prove	prove	Ba	prove	prove	Ba	prove	prove	prove	Drove	Improvement	prove	Ba	prove	prove	prove
					mpro	mpro	mpro		E	Ξ	≞		ııı	Ē	<u>=</u>		≞	<u>=</u>	≞	-	=   =	= =		ш	ш	<u>u</u>	=	<u>=</u>	Ē		≗ .	E 13	Ξ
			Citizen	2.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.1												Ħ		T		Н							H	+	
			Monitor	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.0				Н									$^{\dagger}$				H							H	$\pm$	-
			Staff	2.5	3.0 2.0	4.0	1.0 1.0													H		+		H								+	_
			Avg	2.2	2.3	2.3	1.0	1.1	###	####	###	####	###	####	####	####	###	####	###	## #	## #:	# ##	# ##	##	###	## #	## ##	# ##	####	###	## #;	#####	###
Average score			Trend		Improv	No change	Reg			####			###	*****	_			####			## ##					##	_	# ##			## #:		
Trend				*****		RATIVE ON														Щ			<u> </u>	Ш		Ц		1_	<u> </u>	L	LL	丄	_
					I	MPROVEMEN	IT PLAN MO	NITORI	ING RE	PORT	- IMPR	OVEN	MENT	MONITO	RING 1	(2012)																	T
			Extract of the Improveme	nt Plan-(De	eveloped during	the feedback r	meeting)										Impr	oveme	nt plar	tracki	ng re	ort-(	Comp	leted a	turing i	improv	ement	's mor	nitoring i	neetin	1)		
FSDM Improvement ke performance area	ey Reco	mmendations					Activity					Perso	n nsible		Date of	f comp	letion				Stat	us of	impr	ovem	ent pl	lan (is	there	any p	orogres	5)			
periormance area												respo	nsible	:				Сс	omplete	T	Will			likely t			not be	be Comments					
Location & Accessibili	ity Reco	mmendation 1						complete complete complete									lete																
Findings:	.,																																
Visibility & Signage Findings:	Reco	mmendation 2	2:																														
Queue Management &	Waiting Reco	mmendation 3	3:																	+			T										_
Times																																	
Findings: Dignified Treatment &	Door	mmendation 4	1.																	4			-					-					_
Consultation	Reco	IIIIII EI IU AU OIT 4	ı.																														
Findings:																																	
Cleanliness & Comfort Findings:	t Reco	mmendation 5	ā:																														
Findings: Safety Findings:	Reco	mmendation 6	i:																														
Opening & closing tim Findings:	ning & closing times Recommendation 7:																			1								T					_
Complaint Management Recommendation 8:  System Finding:																				1													_
Sector specific standar Finding:	specific standards Recommendation 9:																			+								$\dagger$					_
Other	Reco	mmendation 1	10:																	$\dagger$													_
Findings:															<u> </u>																	_	_

	Extract of the Improvem	IMPROVEI ent Plan-(Developed during the feedback		IITORING REPORT-IMPR	OVEMENT MONITRI	NG 2-(20	13)			For complet	ion durina	imnroveme	nts monit	oring meeting						
	- Extract of the improvement		meany									mproveme	oning meeting							
FSDM Improvement key performance area	Recommendations	Activities		Responsibility	Date of completion	Action comple		Esca	lation?	Responsibility		Date		Comments						
						Υ	N	Υ	N											
Location & Accessibility Findings:	Recommendation 1:																			
Visibility & Signage Findings:	Recommendation 2:																			
Queue Management & Waiting Times	Recommendation 3:																			
Findings: Dignified Treatment &	Recommendation 4:																			
Consultation  Findings:	Recommendation 4.																			
Cleanliness & Comfort Findings:	Recommendation 5:																			
Safety Findings:	Recommendation 6:																			
Opening & closing times Findings:	Recommendation 7:																			
Complaint Management System Findings:	Recommendation 8:																			
Sector specific standards Findings:	Recommendation 9:																			
Other Findings:	Recommendation 10:							T												
· in this inguit		IMPROVEN	MENTS MONI	TORING REPORT-IMPRO	VEMENT MONITORI	NG 3-(20	014)	_												
	Extract of the Imp	rovement Plan-(Developed during the fe																		
FSDM improvement	Recommendations	Outputs-to achieve improvement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				By When			rovement	Is the		Commer	nts						
performance area					target (S/M/L)			impleme		ation d? (Y/N)	of recomm s compl (Y/N)	endation								
								Υ		N	Υ	N								
Location & Accessibility	1.1 The improvements monitoring	Ramp	Send letter to	o public works	Short term	15/10/2014		4												
Visibility & Signage	2.1	0	0		0															
Queue Management & Waiting Times	3.1	0	0		0															
Dignified Treatment & Consultation	4.1		0																	
Cleanliness & Comfort	5.1				-															
Safety	6.1	0	0		0															
Opening & closing times	7.1	0	0		0															
Complaint Management System	8.1	0	0		0															
Sector specific standards	9.1	0	0		0															
Other	10.1	0	0		0															
		0 IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING	O STIMMAD	V. (For completion at t	0	nveme-	nte me	nite	rina m	etina)										
Number of improvement reco	mmendations complete	IMPROVEMENTS MONTORING					112 III(	וטוווע	ing me	euny)										
·			Number of improvement recommendations not complete																	
Name & position of lead perso	on				Contacts of lead pe	rson														
Monitors' close out comments	on progress to date																			

PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE-IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING 1 (2012)
PHOTOGRAPHIC EMDENCE- IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING 2 (2013)
PHOTOGRAPHIC EMDENCE-IMPROVEMENTS MONITORING 3 (2014)